

SAGIT Research Snapshot

DG1202: A new approach to grass weed control for durum wheat

FAST FACTS

The details

Start: July 1, 2012 Finish: June 30, 2015

Project participants: John Green, Southern Australia Durum Growers Association, Rob Wheeler, Simon Goss & Kenton Porker, SARDI.

The problem

Durum and bread wheat react differently to common chemicals used to control grass weeds. Hence it was important to discover how durum responds in order to provide information to growers on how best to manage grass weeds in durum wheats.

The research

The project aimed to develop a new and integrated approach to improve grass weed control in durum wheat. This was done by developing a set of agronomic management protocols that improve the effectiveness and benefit of commonly used herbicides and the herbicide safety of common durum varieties.

BACKGROUND

The South Australian durum industry is set to benefit from recent new variety and pre-emergent chemical releases. The purpose of this research was to confirm and renew existing practices for weed control in durum wheat taking into consideration varietal competitiveness, pre-emergent chemical application, seed placement and seed size.

This research has provided durum growers in southern Australia with information that will enable them to increase production and assist in controlling weed populations.

RESEARCH AIMS

In a nutshell

This project discovered an integrated approach to improve grass weed control in durum wheat by providing detail on the best pre-emergent for use in durum wheat, benefits in increased seeding rates and larger seed size and the competitive ability of different varieties.

The aim of the project was to investigate:

- The potential for crop recovery from herbicide damage using increased nutrition
- Chemicals best suited for pre-emergent weed control in durum wheats
- The competitive ability of different varieties
- Any benefits of using increased seeding rates or larger seed sizes.

IN THE FIELD

Over the three years of the project, several different field trials were conducted at sites including Bordertown, Tarlee, Hart, Paskeville, Roseworthy and Turretfield. Trials were conducted by SARDI New Variety Agronomy staff based at Waite with assistance from the South-East and Clare NVA groups.

In 2012, the trial at Hart suffered from severe crown rot infection, resulting in reduced yields and increased trial variability; however the weed competition data was still very useful.

In 2013, a small extension trial at Paskeville suffered from a seeding issue that influenced some of the treatments. This experiment was re-sown at the Turretfield Research Station to ensure reliable data could be produced.

In 2014, best practice grass weed control field trials were conducted at four sites. One of these trials, at Hart, was mostly successful while sites at Turretfield and Bordertown were harvested with ryegrass, but crop growth measurements taken throughout the season showed inconclusive results due to poor seasonal conditions. The remaining site at Tarlee was abandoned due to delayed seeding, water logging and herbicide damage.

Results were summarised and findings were presented to the Durum Growers Association committee annually, published into relevant farming system magazines, and presented to farmers at the annual durum growers' forums.

RESULTS

The key finding is the best and most effective preemergent chemical to use before sowing. Sakura[®], popular with wheat growers, causes significant damage to durum wheat and is not recommended for use with durum. Trials found that Boxer Gold[®] is the best choice for pre-emergent chemical application in durum wheat. This however was still found to cause some seedling mortality.

In 2012, the Paskeville trial was used to assess the potential for crop recovery from herbicide damage using improved plant nutrition, for example, extra applied nitrogen and trace elements. The results showed that the improved nutrition treatments did not offer any benefit to crop safety or growth recovery compared to un-fertilised treatments.

An additional trial at Paskeville showed the potential to improve crop safety by using larger seed and sowing it below the herbicide band.

Trials at Hart and Tarlee in 2013 focused on reducing weed seed set using competitive varieties, seeding rates, and other management strategies such as row spacing and seed bed utilisation. The results suggest there are significant differences in varietal weed competiveness.

A trial in 2014 at Hart compared DBA Aurora⁽¹⁾ to Mace⁽¹⁾ wheat to see how the two crop types fared against each other. This trial was used to demonstrate that under best practices, durum can compete with weeds in a similar manner to bread wheat. This trial was also designed to show the effects of increasing seeding rates and using different seed placement systems. Increasing seeding rates to 300 plants/m² increased competition and reduced ryegrass numbers compared to 100 plants/m² and 200 plants/m² respectively. The use of these higher seeding rates and using a larger seed size also helped to reduce the impact of pre-emergent



herbicide damage without compromise to grain quality.

VALUE FOR GROWERS

In summary, the key findings of the research are:

- In Mace⁽¹⁾ wheat and DBA Aurora⁽¹⁾ durum, increasing crop seeding rate reduced ryegrass head set and decreased screening percentage.
- Durum varieties Saintly and DBA Aurora showed they had a similar ability to reduce ryegrass seed set through competition when compared to Mace wheat.
- DBA Aurora⁽¹⁾ and Saintly⁽¹⁾ were the best choices of durum variety for weed competitiveness.
- Varying seeding rates can change the amount of crop yield loss under high weed pressure.
- Sakura[®] is unlikely to be registered for use in durum as it shows high levels of crop damage.
- Although some initial damage can occur, a package combining higher seeding rates, large seed size and Boxer Gold[®] were the best available chemical and agronomic practices for pre-emergent weed control.

More information:

John Green Southern Australian Durum Growers Association T: 08 8826 2135 E: john.green_1@bigpond.com

SAGIT DISCLAIMER: Any recommendations, suggestions or opinions contained in this communication do not necessarily represent the policy or views of the South Australian Grain Industry Trust (SAGIT). No person should act on the basis of the contents of this communication without first obtaining specific, independent, professional advice. The Trust and contributors to this communication may identify products by proprietary or trade names to help readers identify particular types of products. We do not endorse or recommend the products of any manufacturer referred to. Other products may perform as well as or better than those specifically referred to. SAGIT will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of any person using or relying on the information in this communication. **CAUTION: RESEARCH ON UNREGISTERED AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS USE.** Any research with unregistered pesticides or of unregistered products reported in this communication does not constitute a recommendation for that particular use by the authors or the author's organisations. All pesticide applications must accord with the currently registered label for that particular pesticide, crop, pest and region. Copyright © All material published in this communication is copyright protected and may not be reproduced in any form without written permission from SAGIT.