

RESEARCH SUMMARY

UNF116

FAST FACTS

PROBLEM

The Upper North
Agricultural Region of SA
is frequently affected by
hot dry springs, significant
frost events and unreliable
opening rains. Identifying
the window of least risk for
sowing and increasing the
knowledge of the farmers to
different wheat phenology
will increase profitability
and enable management
through seasonal variation.

PROJECT

Five cultivars were sown on test plots over three seasons with different times of sowing to assess yields and vulnerability to frost/ heat stress.

PARTICIPANTS

Upper North Farming Systems

DATES

Start: 2016 **Finish:** 2018

UPPER NORTH: TIME OF SOWING AND YIELD LOSS FROM FROST/HEAT STRESS

The Upper North is characterised by medium to low rainfall and heavy clay/clay loam soils. A high frost risk in August and the onset of summer heat and moisture stress from late September limits growers' ability to take advantage of early sowing opportunities to increase yields of main season wheat.

This project compared the performance of five varieties with varying photoperiod and vernalisation controls when sown at different times – early, standard and late. Soil temperature, establishment date, anthesis date and frost damage were recorded for each plot.

Crops were assessed for yield and grain quality.

BACKGROUND

Historically wheat in the Upper North was sown after decent opening rains, delaying sowing into June in many years. Modern spring wheat varieties are generally sown in early May to achieve anthesis during optimal conditions in early to mid-September.

Recent trends, such as declining autumn and spring rainfall, increased frequency of frost events and increasing farm sizes, has meant growers need a more flexible sowing window.

RESEARCH AIMS

The core objectives of the project were to:

- Compare how different wheat varieties with varying phenology (photoperiod and vernalisation) controls perform when sown in three different sowing windows.
- Demonstrate the optimal time of sowing for five popular wheat varieties – Trojan, Mace, Cutlass, Hatchet and Longsword – under Upper North growing conditions.
- Identify the optimal variety x time of sowing combination for wheat under typical seasonal constraints in the Upper North.
- Identify if sowing outside of the optimum sowing window for most varieties can be achieved profitably using alternative phenology varieties in the Upper North.

IN THE FIELD

The three trial seasons (2016, 2017 and 2018) exposed the crops to a wide variety of conditions – significantly above average rainfall in 2016, very dry conditions in 2017 and more typical conditions in 2018 but with very low stored soil moisture.

The trial sites at Booleroo Centre were chosen for their uniform red clay/clay loam soils that are typical of the Upper North.



Each year, the early and late maturing cultivars were compared at different sowing times representing early sowing, standard sowing and late sowing. The trials were arranged in a split-plot design with three replications of each treatment in 2016 and four replications each in 2017 and 2018.

In 2016 and 2018 the early sown plots were irrigated by water truck to simulate April rain. The grain was taken to Viterra for grain quality analysis. Measurements included establishment date, soil temperature at sowing, soil tests, growth stage, anthesis date and frost damage. Rainfall and frost/heat stress was also monitored throughout the trials.

RESULTS

The trials showed that time of sowing can have a significant effect on yield, regardless of cultivar, with late sowing inducing a marked yield penalty due to the onset of heat and moisture stress during flowering and grain fill.

Hatchet was particularly vulnerable to frost stress when sown early.

Trojan and Cutlass delivered strong yields, especially when sown early or in the optimal window in most years. Mace was replaced with Scepter in 2018 and Mace/Scepter proved to be the best performer when sown in its optimal sowing window during a typical season.

Scepter is currently the industry benchmark for wheat yields in the Upper North, with a typical optimal sowing window in early May.

All variety and time of sowing combinations achieved high test weights and low screenings in 2016, however protein decreased as higher yields were achieved. In 2018, low test weights and high proteins saw all combinations achieve AUH2 quality except Cutlass at TOS2 and TOS3, which achieved APW1. High test weights and protein from the 2018 season saw most combinations achieve H1 or H2 quality although Hatchet at TOS1 was downgraded due to frosted grain and a low test weight.

A full breakdown of grain quality analysis by season is available in the UNFS 2016, 2017 and 2018 Annual Results books.

VALUE FOR GROWERS

These trials have clarified the strengths and vulnerabilities of five major wheat cultivars for growers in the Upper North. The results will help growers to select suitable wheat cultivars for their enterprise based on expected sowing date, yield and grain quality.

While Scepter remains the benchmark for yields when sown in its optimal sowing window in a typical season, growers now have added ability to consider other cultivars – especially when seasonal conditions indicate an early or late sowing date may be preferable.

MORE INFORMATION:

Ruth Sommerville, Upper North Farming Systems T: 0401 042 223 E: unfs@outlook.com



TOS 1, 2016. First ever replicated plot trial sown by UNFS and to be managed by UNFS. Ruth Sommerville, Brooke Orrock, Todd Orrock, Toby Fisher and Joe Koch.

SAGIT DISCLAIMER

Any recommendations, suggestions or opinions contained in this communication do not necessarily represent the policy or views of the South Australian Grain Industry Trust (SAGIT). No person should act on the basis of the contents of this communication without first obtaining specific, independent, professional advice. The Trust and contributors to this communication may identify products by proprietary or trade names to help readers identify particular types of products. We do not endorse or recommend the products of any manufacturer referred to. Other products may perform as well as or better than those specifically referred to. SAGIT will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of any person using or relying on the information in this communication.

CAUTION: RESEARCH ON UNREGISTERED AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS USE. Any research with unregistered pesticides or of unregistered products reported in this communication does not constitute a recommendation for that particular use by the authors or the author's organisations. All pesticide applications must accord with the currently registered label for that particular pesticide, crop, pest and region. Copyright © All material published in this communication is copyright protected and may not be reproduced in any form without written permission from SAGIT.