

FAST FACTS

THE DATES: Start: 1/7/2014 Finish: 30/6/2017

PROJECT PARTICIPANTS:

University of South Australia: James Barr, John Fielke, Jack Desbiolles.

THE PROBLEM:

Tine seeders cause high soil disturbance which contributes to a range of issues that are typically managed by reducing operating speed.

THE RESEARCH:

A novel bent leg opener has been investigated and modelled to provide a low soil throw alternative tine design.

SAGIT RESEARCH SUMMARY

USA114: The application of bent leg technologies to higher speed tined seeding of cereal grains

IN A NUTSHELL

Bentleg seeding has the potential to increase tine seeding operating speeds in stubble retained systems.

A computer model was developed to simulate soil throw and refine design parameters of a bentleg system. Field trials were conducted on sandy loam soils and found bentleg tines could increase operating speeds by 50 per cent with no loss of yield.

The next step for this technology is final development and commercialisation.

The computer model developed is currently being used in three in other South Australian Grains industry related projects.

BACKGROUND

No-till tine seeding systems cause high soil disturbance which typically limit operating speed to 8-9 kilometres per hour. The high soil disturbance can also contribute to furrow moisture loss, weed seed germination, seeding depth variability and herbicide efficacy and safety. Disc seeding systems can operate at speeds up to 10-15 km/h but have other negatives which have limited their broad scale adoption by farmers.

Research at UniSA in 2012-13 found that changing the opener geometry could reduce soil throw, and following this, the bent leg opener was developed by UniSA based on a South African design.

RESEARCH AIMS

- The core objectives of the project were:
- Investigate the potential for high speed seeding with tine-type openers.
- Develop a computer model for soil movement using Discrete Element Modelling.
- Validate the model using results from soil translocation experiments.
- Use the model to minimise lateral soil throw and soil layer mixing by various furrow opener designs.
- Investigate methods for seed and fertiliser placement with minimal lateral soil throw and soil layer mixing at higher than current sowing speeds.

IN THE FIELD

A form of modelling known as the discrete element method (DEM) was used to simulate the effect of opener rake angle between 30 and 90 degrees and compared to previous measurements conducted by UniSA as a validation of the model. The model predicted soil throw and mixing as shown in Figure 1.

A field trial was conducted in 2014 in a dry silty loam at Roseworthy to validate the DEM model and the performance of bentleg seeders. Further field trials were conducted in sandy loam soils at Geranium in 2015 and Loxton in 2016 to evaluate different seed and fertiliser banding techniques.

RESULTS

DEM modelling predicted bent leg openers would minimise soil disturbance and allowed researchers to specify design attributes which would contribute to minimising disturbance, such as a reduced thickness leg, maximum leading chamfer and curved transition connecting the side leg to the vertical shank. Design analysis highlighted a new bent leg concept that could be used to loosen effectively with a negative rake angle, potentially improving performance in stoney soils.

The 2014 Roseworthy trial found the bent leg opener was able to reduce lateral (sidewards) soil throw by more than 50 per cent in a dry silt-loam soil at speeds of 16 km/h. This opener design was expanded into a double chute seeding system capable of deep banding fertiliser. These seeding systems were evaluated in two sandy loam field trials at Geranium and Loxton in 2015 and 2016 respectively. The results highlighted that bent leg seeding system concepts with a closing plate or side-banding enabled a speed increase of 50 per cent from 8 to 12km/h with no yield penalty. In contrast the control knife point system commonly used by farmers suffered a 31% emergence loss caused by soil throw.

There is the potential that the reduced soil disturbance has benefits including reduced weed seedbank disturbance and reduced residue clumping. The weed seed bank dynamics benefits of the bentleg opener have recently been published an international context1, but these should be validated in a South Australian context to understand local benefits.

This project was conducted primarily on sandy loam soils. To commercialise this technology, further development is required to ensure a robust design across soil types, along with maximising the life of wear parts and optimising cost.

VALUE FOR GROWERS

The bent leg seeding system represents an opportunity for the improvement for tine seeder operating speeds by 50 per cent. Design recommendations have been developed for commercial bent leg systems, and initial discussions have been held with a range of potential commercial partners.

The DEM model developed as part of this project is currently in use for three grains industry research projects including CSIRO Sandy Soils project, a GRDC innovation grant project (confidential), and the DAFWA sandy soils project.

MORE INFORMATION:

James Barr, University of South Australia T: 0417 823 623 E: james.barr@unisa.edu.au



SAGIT DISCLAIMER

Any recommendations, suggestions or opinions contained in this communication do not necessarily represent the policy or views of the South Australian Grain Industry Trust (SAGIT). No person should act on the basis of the contents of this communication without first obtaining specific, independent, professional advice. The Trust and contributors to this communication may identify products by proprietary or trade names to help readers identify particular types of products. We do not endorse or recommend the products of any manufacturer referred to. Other products may perform as well as or better than those specifically referred to. SAGIT will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of any person using or relying on the information in this communication.

CAUTION: RESEARCH ON UNREGISTERED

AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS USE. Any research with unregistered pesticides or of unregistered products reported in this communication does not constitute a recommendation for that particular use by the authors or the author's organisations. All pesticide applications must accord with the currently registered label for that particular pesticide, crop, pest and region. Copyright © All material published in this communication is copyright protected and may not be reproduced in any form without written permission from SAGIT