



RESEARCH SUMMARY

UA118

FAST FACTS

PROBLEM

Imidazolinone herbicides are increasingly applied for weed control but persistence in the soil may impact crops and key soil microbes.

PROJECT

This project evaluated the impact of imidazolinone herbicides on soil microbial populations of alkaline soils in SA Mallee environments.

PARTICIPANTS

University of Adelaide:
Assoc Prof Matthew Denton,
Dr Yi Zhou, Dr Thang Viet Lai
SARDI: Brian Dzoma, Dr
Nigel Wilhelm, Kym Zeppel

DATES

Start: 1 July 2018
Finish: 30 June 2020

HERBICIDE RESIDUE EFFECTS ON SOIL MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES IN ALKALINE SOILS

Soil-applied imidazolinone (imi) herbicides are an important herbicide for multiple crop types. They should persist long enough to provide effective weed control during crop growth but not so long that residues affect subsequent crops.

Imidazolinone herbicides are known to persist longer than is optimal in low rainfall, alkaline soils of the SA Mallee. This persistence may affect key soil microbes and impact the productivity of subsequent broadleaf crops.

This project identified that Intervix can persist in Mallee soils for more than two years and impact the abundance of key rhizobacteria, which may have flow-on impacts to crop performance..

BACKGROUND

Mallee environments dominate the low rainfall farming zone of south-eastern Australia, and numerous soil constraints restrict crop productivity. Long-lasting imidazolinone herbicides are increasingly being applied to control weeds through the growing season. Unwanted herbicide persistence affects subsequent broadleaf crops, but the impact on key soil microbes is unknown.

Under optimum conditions, imidazolinone is primarily broken down by microbial action over one or two months. However, in moisture-limited Mallee environments, this degradation is greatly reduced, particularly where soils are alkaline and low in organic carbon.

RESEARCH AIMS

The core objectives of the project were to:

- Evaluate the impact of imidazolinone residues on key microbial populations of alkaline soils in SA Mallee environments, with a focus on the predominant plant growth-promoting bacteria rhizobia, *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces* and *Pseudomonas*.
- Determine the implications of herbicide residues on broadleaf crops and pasture species, with a particular focus on legume crops.

IN THE FIELD

Two replicated field trials were established at Waikerie (northern Mallee) and Peebinga (southern Mallee). On 26 July 2018, imidazolinone concentrations were sprayed onto plots sown to Scope barley. This allowed 10 months for the treatments to settle and move into the soil profile.

Six herbicide residues levels were simulated - 0x, 0.001x, 0.01x, 0.1x, 0.5x, 1x, where x is the recommended field rate of 500ml/ha Intervix.

Intervix is comprised of Imazamox (33g/L) and Imazapyr (15g/L) as its active ingredients. To determine the impact of imazamox and imazapyr soil residues on lentil and wheat rhizosphere bacteria, and the richness and abundance of soil bacteria, the herbicides Raptor (imazamox) and Warrant (imazapyr) were used at rates equivalent to the original proportions in Intervix.

Prior to sowing in 2019, 0-10cm soil cores were sampled from each plot to determine the amount of herbicide still present. Trials were then sown to wheat and lentils on 21 May 2019. Pot experiments were also conducted under glasshouse conditions.

Both the field and pot trials compared imi-tolerant Kord CL wheat with the susceptible variety Gladius. Lentil trials compared residue tolerant Hurricane XT with susceptible Nipper. Each experiment had two times of sampling for crop growth and soil microbiology.

RESULTS

Kord CL wheat and Hurricane XT lentils were tolerant to Intervix residues, however, Gladius and Nipper were sensitive to residues above 10 per cent of the recommended field rate.

Rhizosphere soil bacterial communities associated with Gladius were altered by the presence of high Intervix residues at growth stage 31. However, variety, residue rate and time of sampling all influenced the composition of the bacterial community.

The mean relative abundance of organisms of economic significance like *Bacillus* and *Rhizobium* was reduced at the high residue rate for both Gladius and Kord CL at both times of sampling.

In the lentil rhizosphere, bacterial species abundance and richness was lower in high residue treatments during the early vegetative stage. However, Nipper was more sensitive to Intervix residues at GS V1 than Hurricane XT compared with the untreated control.

The lentil rhizosphere harboured less *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* than the bulk soil and the mean relative abundance of *Bacillus* was reduced at high residue rates, while the abundance of *Streptomyces* increased with Intervix residues.

Imazapyr residues significantly reduced bacterial abundance and richness in the lentil rhizosphere at both times of sampling. However, the relative abundance of *Rhizobium* was not affected by the presence of imazamox or imazapyr residues at the recommended field rate.

VALUE FOR GROWERS

Maximising production is crucial in the low rainfall conditions and poor performing alkaline sands of Mallee environments.

Awareness of the risk of imidazolinone persistence, especially when summer and autumn seasons are drier than normal, can allow growers to adjust their rotations so that herbicide residues have time to break down before sensitive crops are planted.

MORE INFORMATION:

Assoc. Prof. Matthew Denton, University of Adelaide

T: 08 8313 1098

E: matthew.denton@adelaide.edu.au



SAGIT DISCLAIMER

Any recommendations, suggestions or opinions contained in this communication do not necessarily represent the policy or views of the South Australian Grain Industry Trust (SAGIT). No person should act on the basis of the contents of this communication without first obtaining specific, independent, professional advice. The Trust and contributors to this communication may identify products by proprietary or trade names to help readers identify particular types of products. We do not endorse or recommend the products of any manufacturer referred to. Other products may perform as well as or better than those specifically referred to. SAGIT will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of any person using or relying on the information in this communication.

CAUTION: RESEARCH ON UNREGISTERED AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS USE. Any research with unregistered pesticides or of unregistered products reported in this communication does not constitute a recommendation for that particular use by the authors or the author's organisations. All pesticide applications must accord with the currently registered label for that particular pesticide, crop, pest and region. Copyright © All material published in this communication is copyright protected and may not be reproduced in any form without written permission from SAGIT.